

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,028 02/21/2002		/2002	Farhad Farassat	MEISS68.001AUS	1645
20995	7590 10/04/2005			EXAMINER	
		DLSON & BEA	KRAMER, DEAN J		
2040 MAIN FOURTEE	STREET NTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, C	A 92614			3652	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,028	FARASSAT, FARHAD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dean J. Kramer	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	<u>lugust 2005</u> .	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-34</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.					
•		Evaminor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.030.101.101.117.70 102.				
	a priority under 25 U.S.O. S.440/-					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigra) All b) Some * c) None of:	r priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
	or the cortained copies flot receive					
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Motice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/081,028

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed August 5, 2005 and the remarks presented therewith have been carefully considered. However, they are not deemed to be fully persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 15-20, and 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schweitzer et al. (U.S. Pat. # 5,203,659) in view of Hiroki.

The Schweitzer et al. ('659) patent shows a mechanism that substantially contains the limitations as set forth in the above claims except that its first and second clamping devices (42,44) are not disposed one above the other in a vertical arrangement so that they can substantially remove and deposit two different plates.

However, Hiroki shows a pair of vertically aligned clamps (25,26) individually movable in a common plane to simultaneously deposit and remove processed and unprocessed substrates, respectively, within a magazine (42). This magazine can vertically move all of its plate0receiving slots via an elevating mechanism (43).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange two additional vertically moveable clamping devices directly below the two existing clamping devices (42,44) of the Schweitzer et al. assembly as taught by Hiroki in order to increase the production capability of the

resulting device. It is pointed out that the resulting clamps would be able to move vertically (similar to that shown in Figures 3 and 4 of the Hiroki patent) as well as horizontally (similar to that shown in Figures 9 and 10 of the Hiroki patent) when moving plates into or out of the magazine.

In regard to claim 20, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide any well known actuating means for the clamp, such as pneumatic, electric, or magnetic means, especially since applicant has not specifically disclosed that any particular actuator solves any stated problem or is for any critical purpose, and it appears that the device would perform equally well with any commonly used actuator.

It is also pointed out that the modified Schweitzer et al. ('659) system could obviously function the method steps in the order listed in claims 6 or 11 of the instant application, or in the reverse order, depending on the specific process being performed on the chips or wafers.

2. Claims 3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schweitzer et al. (U.S. Pat. # 5,203,659) in view of Hiroki as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Somekh et al..

The patent to Somekh et al. shows a carrier plate (40) having four straight sides generally forming a rectangular structure.

It would have been obvious to form the chip-carrier plates of the modified Schweitzer et al. device into a generally rectangular or square shape, depending on the

design of the magazine useable therewith, as taught by Somekh et al. so that the plates better conform to receiving space in the magazine and/or processing stations.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dean J. Kramer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3652

djk 9/29/05